

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,755	09/03/2004	Maurizio De Paola	23022	6671
535 K.F. ROSS P.C	7590 12/10/2007		EXAMINER	
5683 RIVERD	ALE AVENUE	JUNG, DAVID YIUK		
SUITE 203 BC BRONX, NY 1			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2134	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/506,755	DE PAOLA ET A	AL.		
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Y. Jung	2134	·		
The MAILI Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence a	iddress		
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time mater SIX (6) MONTH: - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to the Office later than three months after the mailing djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in a	ccordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Clain	ns					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	-5 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the a	above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considerati	on.			
5)	is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	<u>-5</u> is/are rejected.					
	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing	g(s) filed on <u>file</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepte	ed or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant ma	ay not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correct		= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11)∐ The oath or	declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the a	tached Office Action or form F	PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119					
	gment is made of a claim for foreign]Some * c)⊡ None of:	priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Certi	ified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	ed.			
2.☐ Certi	ified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	ed in Application No			
•	ies of the certified copies of the prior	•		al Stage		
• •	ication from the International Bureau	· ·	•			
* See the attac	ched detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copi	es not received.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Reference			erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclos	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ale <u>2004</u> .	o) [] (i	ner:			

Application/Control Number:

10/506,755 Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-5 are presented.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Regarding claims 1-5, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims recite only perfunctory recitation of functional material (mobile telephone network, etc.). Aside from this, the claims recite only nonfunctional descriptive material. When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas, stored on a computer-readable medium, in a computer, or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, does not make it statutory. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 185-86, 209 USPQ at 8 (noting that the claims for an algorithm in Benson were unpatentable as abstract ideas because "[t]he sole practical application of the algorithm was in connection with the programming of a general purpose computer."). Such a result would exalt form over substance.

For further guidance on the term "nonfunctional", please see MPEP 2106.

Application/Control Number:

10/506,755 Art Unit: 2134

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (WO 00/59199, cited by Applicant) and admissions against prior art.

Regarding claim 1, Anderson teaches "Method for decoding charging data records (CDR) generated in a mobile telephone network, said records consisting of files to be decoded that can be described on the basis of a formal description of the [] type, characterised by the fact that it includes the following operations: - identifying (102) the type of record to be decoded, the identification corresponding to at least a first type (GSM) and at least a second type (GPRS) of records to be decoded, - providing a decoder (10) including an interpreter of the [] type (18), - providing (110) said formal description of the [] type of the records to be decoded, - self-generate, by means of said interpreter (18) and in relation to the aforementioned description, an updated decoder version of at least a first (114) and at least a second (116) type according to the type of record to be decoded, and - supplying (118) said files to be decoded (14) to the decoder (114, 116) self-generated in this way, so as to output (120) said decoded records in text format (page 1, line 1 to page 6, line 20, especially the section on background of invention and the summary of invention)."

10/506,755 Art Unit: 2134

These passages of Anderson do not teach "ASN.1" in the sense of the claim.

Applicant does admit that ASN.1 is used by UMTS, GPRS, GSM. See pages 1-2 of the specification of this application (which is already well known in the art and is a admission against prior art).

Nevertheless, it was well known in the art to use "ASN.1" for the motivation of creating a formal record appropriate for decoder. This is especially known for GSM.

Because UMTS is an extension of GPRS which is in turn an extension of GSM, it would also have been well known in the art to use ASN.1 for UMTS as well as GPRS for the reasons that it was well known to use ASN.1 for GSM. Thus, a decoder for GSM would automatically suggest a decoder for GPRS and UMTS for the motivation of handling the extensions of already existing standards.

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to modify Anderson for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

2. (original) Method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that said at least a first type of record and said at least a second type of record is selected from the group consisting of GSM, GPRS or UMTS records.

Claim 2: such UMTS, GPRS, GSM were well known in the art. See pages 1-2 of the specification of this patent application.

Application/Control Number:

10/506,755 Art Unit: 2134

- 3. Method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that it includes the operation 1 of selecting one of the said at least first (114) and said at least second (116) type of decoder, and of parameterising the decoder selected in relation to at least one parameter selected from the group made up of: name of log file to be decoded (104), and output format of the decoded file (106).
- 4. (original) Method as per claim 3, characterised by the fact that said output format of the decoded file is selected from the following: a long format, in which the decoding, the length and the contents in hexadecimal are given for each record field, and a short format, for which only the decoding is given for each record field.

Claims 3, 4: such formats are well known in the art for handling "data records."

For example, note the use with logging and data formats which would be necessary for the "data records" as recited.

5. System for decoding charging data records (CDR) generated in a mobile telephone network operating according to the method as per claim 1.

Claim 5: such mobile telephone network was well known in the art. See pages 1-2 of the specification of the specification.

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

or Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (272) 272-3811.

or Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (272) 272-3811.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,755

Art Unit: 2134

Page 7

David Jung

Patent Examiner

12/6/07